

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: <u>March 24, 2005</u>	(A1ET, S)
--	-----------

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001	03 MDL 1570 (RCC) ECF Case
---	-------------------------------

This document relates to:

*Burnett v. Al Baraka Investment and Development Group, et al., Case No. 02-CV-1616
(S.D.N.Y.)*

**STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING SCHEDULE TO
RESPOND TO COMPLAINT CONSOLIDATED UNDER MDL 1570**

It is HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiffs in the above-referenced case consolidated under 03 MDL 1570 and Defendants African Muslim Agency, Ahmed Totonji, Grove Corporate, Heritage Education Trust, International Institute of Islamic Thought, Iqbal Unus, Jamal Barzinji, M. Omar Ashraf, M. Yaqub Mirza, Mar-Jac Investments, Mena Corporation, Mohammed Jaghliit, Muhammad Ashraf, Reston Investments, Safa Trust, Sterling Charitable Gift Fund, Sterling Management Group, and York Foundation (collectively, "Defendants"), by and through their undersigned counsel, subject to the approval of the Court, as follows:

1. The Court previously approved Stipulations by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and defendants Ahmed Totonji, Iqbal Unus, Jamal Barzinji, M. Omar Ashraf, M. Yaqub Mirza, Mohammed Jaghliit, Muhammad Ashraf, Sterling Charitable Gift Fund, and Sterling Management Group to respond to Plaintiffs' complaint on March 28, 2005.

2. Currently pending before the Court is the motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' complaint brought by defendants African Muslim Agency, Grove Corporate, Heritage Education Trust, International Institute of Islamic Thought, Mar-Jac Investments, Reston Investments, Safa Trust, and York Foundation (the "Moving Defendants"). That motion is fully briefed and was argued

before the Court on October 12 and 14, 2004.

3. In the interests of judicial economy and to avoid duplicative motions, it is hereby stipulated and agreed that Defendants shall have thirty (30) days from the date on which the Court decides Moving Defendants' motion to dismiss to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs' complaint in the above-referenced case.

4. Plaintiffs shall have sixty (60) days from the date on which it is served with Defendants' responsive pleadings to file a response, if any. Defendants shall have twenty-one (21) days thereafter to file a reply to Plaintiffs' opposition.

5. This stipulation supersedes all previous stipulations between Plaintiffs and any Defendant.

Respectfully submitted

MOTLEY RICE LLC

By:




Jodi Westbrook Flowers
28 Bridgeside Boulevard
Mount Pleasant, SC 29465

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP


By:



Christopher J. Beal
4365 Executive Drive
Suite 1100
San Diego, CA 92121

Attorneys for Defendants

SO ORDERED:


RICHARD CONWAY CASEY, U.S.D.J.

Dated: MAR 24 2005

pc